CDC ignored the NP submitted by LPC in 2018 and the revised NP in 2020 has not been able to progress due to water neutrality issues and did not consult with LPC on revised housing numbers in the North of the district.

The post office closed on 17th August and the village shop closed on the 25th September 2022 so Loxwood no longer qualifies as a service village.

Loxwood is NOT well served by transport links. There is just one bus per day on just four days a week and no buses on the other days.

The village surgery and the village school are both at capacity.

There is one B road running through the village the rest of the surrounding road network is narrow country lanes.

There is no additional capacity for sewerage in the area as confirmed by Southern Water. Currently the Nursery Green and Stonewater sites require foul sewerage to be emptied on a daily basis by lorry increasing the traffic in the villages.

The risk of sewage backflow to properties in the village will be significantly increased if additional developments are connected to the current system which would result in sewage overflow onto the Guildford Road and the river Lox becoming contaminated.

There is an increased risk of flooding to properties in the village due to ground works from future developments. The size of the current land drain and the affect new builds would have on the village has not been considered by CDC and would contravene the council’s latest Strategic Flood Risk Assessment

There are no cycle routes in the village so CDC cannot improve them!

Woodland borders and ancient hedgerow will be destroyed and severely affected by further development and habitats for the local wildlife will be destroyed (this includes over 79 international and nationally protected species; Great Crested Newts, Barbestelle Bats, Dormice, badgers, wood whites and other rare flora, invertebrates, amphibians, reptiles, mammals and birds on the Sussex notable bird list.

The public benefit of the plans are questionable with harm to the local community from overdevelopment of the village being much greater.

Local residents actively use footpaths for health and well being and these would be adversely affected by the additional housing.