



Representation Form

Local Plan Review: Preferred Approach Consultation



The consultation on the Local Plan Review: Preferred Approach will run from 13 December 2018 to 7 February 2019. The document and more information on the consultation can be viewed on our website www.chichester.gov.uk/localplanreview

All comments must be received by 11.59 pm on Thursday 7 February 2019.

There are a number of ways to make your comments:

- Comment on the document on the internet using our online consultation website www.chichester.gov.uk/localplanreview (Recommended)
- Complete this form on your computer and email it to us at planningpolicy@chichester.gov.uk

- Print this form and post it to us at: Planning Policy Team, Chichester District Council, East Pallant House, 1 East Pallant, Chichester, West Sussex, PO19 1TY.

How to use this form

Please complete Part A in full. Please note anonymous comments cannot be accepted, a full address including postcode must be provided.

Please complete Part B overleaf, using a new form for each separate policy or paragraph that you wish to comment on. Please identify which paragraph your comment relates to by completing the appropriate box.

For more information, or if you need assistance completing this form, please contact the Planning Policy Team by email at planningpolicy@chichester.gov.uk or telephone 01243 785166.

PART A		Your Details	Agent's Details (if applicable ¹)
Full Name	SANDRA PASCOAL LIMA		
Address	[REDACTED]		
Postcode	[REDACTED]		
Telephone	[REDACTED]		
Email	[REDACTED]		
Organisation (if applicable)	[REDACTED]		
Position (if applicable)	[REDACTED]		

Is this the official view of the organisation named above? Yes ☐

No ☐

¹ Where provided, we will use Agent's details as the primary contact.

PART B

Please use a new form for each representation that you wish to make. Please note anonymous comments cannot be accepted. Any personal information provided will be processed by Chichester District Council in line with the General Data Protection Regulations 2018. More information is available at:

<http://www.chichester.gov.uk/dataprotectionandfreedomofinformation>.

To which part of the document does your representation relate?

Page/ Paragraph Number:	6.71 - 6.78	Policy Reference:	AL11
----------------------------	-------------	-------------------	------

Do you support, object, or wish to comment on this policy or paragraph?
(Please tick one answer)

Support ☐

Object ☒

Have Comments ☐

Enter your full representation here giving details of your reasons for support/objection:

1. Pollution - the current levels being sustained by residents breach lawful requirements.
2. Speed - in 1999 money was allocated via S106 for "traffic management" & "calming measures", as part of the Foxbridge Estate. Twenty years on and despite death, injury & damage to property - we still wait. Further sums were obtained via New Housing developments since that date.
(Continue on separate sheet if necessary)
Points 3 to 5 - attached.

What improvements or changes would you suggest?

It is unreasonable for the Council to continue to place the health, safety & Quality of life of Hunston residents in peril whilst failing to manage empty properties, pollution levels, traffic, speed, wildlife protection or provide the facilities & measures paid for by S106 revenues raised. I note that the requirement of use or return within 5 years is also ignored.
(Continue on separate sheet if necessary)

Declaration

I understand that any comments submitted will be considered by Chichester District Council in line with this consultation and will be made publicly available on their website www.chichester.gov.uk and may be identifiable by my name or organisation, if provided.

Name (print):	SANDRA PASCOAL - LIMA
Date:	17 January 2019

Additional objection Points:

3. Facilities - substantial sums were again raised by S106 on at least three new developments since 1999.

Our children continue to be forced to use a muddy canal path to attend school - there are no pavements and Hunston is too close to schools for free bus travel.

The Council paid for part of the canal path to be resurfaced - only the part that is a cycling route.

It further proposes a cycling route which is once again clearly designed for leisure rather than to benefit our children - the proposed route raises concerns for their safety and extends their journeys to / from school, considerably.

4. Wildlife: Native deer to the Peninsula since 13th Century and Hedgehogs are being decimated by pollution, habitat destruction, failure to apply planning or use revenues raised via S106 for mitigation. Their death by speeding vehicles is a slow and vile one. Hunston has a hydrological link to an SPA - ignored. Ecological Reports highlight & recommend - ignored. Landscape Plans show wildflowers & native hedges - they are mowed down on a regular basis by Council & Marutenana Estate teams.

5. Empty Properties: West Sussex has over 6,000 empty properties - year upon year. Those figures don't include the number of Housing Association empty stock - it ranges from 8% to 15%. The reasons are many - the excuses match them. It's easier and more beneficial for Councils to destroy habitat and supplement their coffers with revenues from S106 rather than manage, protect, invest or use the revenues raised as they were intended - to mitigate and invest.

SANDRA PASCOAL - LIMA -