



Representation Form

Local Plan Review: Preferred Approach Consultation

The consultation on the Local Plan Review: Preferred Approach will run from 13 December 2018 to 7 February 2019. The document and more information on the consultation can be viewed on our website www.chichester.gov.uk/localplanreview

All comments must be received by 11.59 pm on Thursday 7 February 2019.

There are a number of ways to make your comments:

- Comment on the document on the internet using our online consultation website www.chichester.gov.uk/localplanreview (**Recommended**)
- Complete this form on your computer and email it to us at planningpolicy@chichester.gov.uk
- Print this form and post it to us at: Planning Policy Team, Chichester District Council, East Pallant House, 1 East Pallant, Chichester, West Sussex, PO19 1TY

How to use this form

Please complete Part A in full. Please note anonymous comments cannot be accepted, a full address including postcode must be provided.

Please complete Part B overleaf, using a new form for each separate policy or paragraph that you wish to comment on. Please identify which paragraph your comment relates to by completing the appropriate box.

For more information, or if you need assistance completing this form, please contact the Planning Policy Team by email at planningpolicy@chichester.gov.uk or telephone 01243 785166.

PART A	Your Details	Agent's Details (if applicable ¹)
Full Name		Paul White
Address	C/o agent	Genesis Town Planning 26 Chapel Street Chichester West Sussex
Postcode		PO191DL
Telephone		01243 534050
Email		paul@genesistp.co.uk
Organisation (if applicable)	Domusea Ltd	
Position (if applicable)		

Is this the official view of the organisation named above? Yes No

¹ Where provided, we will use Agent's details as the primary contact.

PART B

Please use a new form for each representation that you wish to make. Please note anonymous comments cannot be accepted. Any personal information provided will be processed by Chichester District Council in line with the General Data Protection Regulations 2018. More information is available at:

<http://www.chichester.gov.uk/dataprotectionandfreedomofinformation>.

To which part of the document does your representation relate?

Page/ Paragraph Number:		Policy Reference:	S2, S4, S5
----------------------------	--	-------------------	------------

Do you support, object, or wish to comment on this policy or paragraph?
(Please tick one answer)

Support

Object

Have Comments

Enter your full representation here giving details of your reasons for support/objection:

The 'tests of soundness' for Local Plan preparation are set out in paragraph 35 of the NPPF2. They require the 2016-35 Local Plan to have been:

- **Positively prepared** – providing a strategy which, as a minimum, seeks to meet the area's objectively assessed needs; and is informed by agreements with other authorities, so that unmet need from neighbouring areas is accommodated where it is practical to do so and is consistent with achieving sustainable development;
- **Justified** – an appropriate strategy, taking into account the reasonable alternatives, and based on proportionate evidence;
- **Effective** – deliverable over the plan period, and based on effective joint working on cross-boundary strategic matters that have been dealt with rather than deferred, as evidenced by the statement of common ground; and
- **Consistent with national policy** – enabling the delivery of sustainable development in accordance with the policies in this Framework.

We will deal with the tests more thoroughly in the next Submission Plan but at this stage our headline comments cover the following policies of relevance to North Mundham/Runcton: Settlement Hierarchy (S2), Housing Need (S4) and Parish Housing Sites (S5).

Policy S2 – Settlement Hierarchy

The Settlement Hierarchy background paper provides justification for the hierarchy in Policy 2 of the Local Plan. It forms the basis for the proposed distribution of growth by distinguishing between those settlements considered to be the most sustainable having the best range of facilities and accessibility from those with the least. Most development is focused on the former and development to meet local needs or no development whatsoever on the latter. We agree that North Mundham/Runcton is properly classified as a service village in the hierarchy.

Policy S4 – Meeting Housing Needs

The identified housing need has been informed by GL Hearn's Chichester Housing and Economic Development Needs Assessment (January 2018)

The Assessment confirms the objectively assessed need (OAN) is capped at 40% above the adopted housing requirement. The Local Plan was adopted on the basis of approximately 435 dpa. Capping the OAN to 40% above the adopted figure gives Chichester a housing need of 609 dpa. The Plan actually provides for 12,350 dwellings over a 19 year period equivalent to 650dpa to meet the 609dpa plus 41dpa which are unable to be met within the District part of the National Park.

Whilst we understand the need assessment has been carried out in accordance with the standard method set out in PPG we suggest it has potential flaws as the 435dpa in the adopted plan already fails to meet need. It should also consider the un-met needs of other adjoining authorities not just the National Park.

Out of the total 12,350 dwellings, 4,400 or 35% are proposed as new strategic allocations. Given this significant reliance on large sites and the potential longer lead in times for housing delivery we therefore suggest the plan includes a trajectory for them especially as this would better comply with Paragraph 73 of the NPPF2.

In meeting need S4 includes a 'windfall small sites allowance' of 695 dwellings and a Parish sites allowance of 500 dwellings. They are both an important land supply component as they will help deliver completions on smaller sites and maintain housing supply in the short term before the larger strategic sites come forward. We welcome both.

Policy S5 – Parish Housing Sites

Parish housing allocations comprise 500 dwellings and paragraph 4.26 of the Plan says they have been distributed amongst the settlements in accordance with their ranking in the settlement hierarchy.

However, we are concerned that the proposed distribution does not in fact do this. For instance according to the Settlement Hierarchy background paper there is a mismatch between Hunston and North Mundham/Runcton as they rank almost equally in population (17 and 18 respectively) and in total facilities (9 and 8 respectively) but Hunston is allocated 200 units as a strategic allocation and North Mundham has only 50.

We therefore propose a more equal distribution between Hunston and North Mundham/Runcton with 125 dwellings each in Policy S5. This would better reflect their almost equal ranking in the Settlement hierarchy background paper. If this is accepted we would point out that land is available in North Mundham at Pigeon House Farm with access from B2166 Lagness Road which has capacity for 125 dwellings.

(Continue on separate sheet if necessary)

What improvements or changes would you suggest?

Policy S4 - The Plan needs to include a housing trajectory of the strategic allocations to assist future monitoring of housing delivery as suggested by paragraph 73 of the NPPF2.

Policy S5 - The housing distribution amongst the parishes needs to be reconsidered to reflect the ranking of settlements in the Hierarchy background paper. In our view a more equal distribution for Hunston and North Mundham/Runcton would be justified given their similar performance in the hierarchy of population and available facilities. We believe each settlement could accommodate 125 dwellings.

(Continue on separate sheet if necessary)

Declaration

I understand that any comments submitted will be considered by Chichester District Council in line with this consultation and will be made publicly available on their website www.chichester.gov.uk and may be identifiable by my name or organisation, if provided.

Name (<i>print</i>):	Paul White
Date:	7 February 2019