



## Representation Form

### Local Plan Review: Preferred Approach Consultation

The consultation on the Local Plan Review: Preferred Approach will run from 13 December 2018 to 7 February 2019. The document and more information on the consultation can be viewed on our website [www.chichester.gov.uk/localplanreview](http://www.chichester.gov.uk/localplanreview)

**All comments must be received by 11.59 pm on Thursday 7 February 2019.**

There are a number of ways to make your comments:

- Comment on the document on the internet using our online consultation website [www.chichester.gov.uk/localplanreview](http://www.chichester.gov.uk/localplanreview) (**Recommended**)
- Complete this form on your computer and email it to us at [planningpolicy@chichester.gov.uk](mailto:planningpolicy@chichester.gov.uk)
- Print this form and post it to us at: Planning Policy Team, Chichester District Council, East Pallant House, 1 East Pallant, Chichester, West Sussex, PO19 1TY

#### **How to use this form**

Please complete Part A in full. Please note anonymous comments cannot be accepted, a full address including postcode must be provided.

Please complete Part B overleaf, using a new form for each separate policy or paragraph that you wish to comment on. Please identify which paragraph your comment relates to by completing the appropriate box.

For more information, or if you need assistance completing this form, please contact the Planning Policy Team by email at [planningpolicy@chichester.gov.uk](mailto:planningpolicy@chichester.gov.uk) or telephone 01243 785166.

<b>PART A</b>	Your Details	Agent's Details (if applicable <sup>1</sup> )
Full Name	Nicky Horter	
Address	PO Box 327 Chichester	
Postcode	PO19 1ZN	
Telephone	01243 777632	
Email	<a href="mailto:admin@chichesterharbourtrust.org.uk">admin@chichesterharbourtrust.org.uk</a>	
Organisation (if applicable)	Chichester Harbour Trust	
Position (if applicable)	Trust Administrator	

Is this the official view of the organisation named above? Yes  No

## PART B

Please use a new form for each representation that you wish to make. Please note anonymous comments cannot be accepted. Any personal information provided will be processed by Chichester District Council in line with the General Data Protection Regulations 2018. More information is available at:

<http://www.chichester.gov.uk/dataprotectionandfreedomofinformation>.

To which part of the document does your representation relate?

Page/ Paragraph Number:	24 3.7	Policy Reference:	East-West Corridor
----------------------------	-----------	-------------------	--------------------

Do you support, object, or wish to comment on this policy or paragraph?  
(Please tick one answer)

Support

Object

Have Comments

Enter your full representation here giving details of your reasons for support/objection:

We welcome the inclusion of the text in paragraph 3.7 as below:

*3.7 The relationship between the National Park and significant natural areas to the south, especially Chichester Harbour Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty, will be carefully managed by maintaining and enhancing the countryside between settlements.*

However, the proposals contained within the plan make it very difficult to achieve this. The combination of the housing allocations along the A259 between Chichester and Emsworth particularly, cannot be effectively managed with the policy tools contained within the plan as they are not strong enough. Particularly, there is a strong risk of coalescence between settlements, and the loss of open countryside previously protected by strategic gap policies.

Strategic policy should be developed that treats the west of Chichester holistically, and not just part of the east-west corridor. The existing settlements along the A259 between Chichester and Emsworth have separate identities and care should be taken to preserve this, and prevent coalescence between villages.

(Continue on separate sheet if necessary)

What improvements or changes would you suggest?

We wish to see a re-evaluation of the distribution of housing allocations between Fishbourne and Chichester, a new policy on settlement gaps and strengthening of existing policies to prevent coalescence between villages (e.g. S30, S24)

We urge for additional SPD guidance on development between Chichester and Emsworth to achieve this purpose.

(Continue on separate sheet if necessary)

## Declaration

I understand that any comments submitted will be considered by Chichester District Council in line with this consultation and will be made publicly available on their website [www.chichester.gov.uk](http://www.chichester.gov.uk) and may be identifiable by my name or organisation, if provided.

Name ( <i>print</i> ):	N Horter
Date:	6/2/19

## **PART B**

Please use a new form for each representation that you wish to make. Please note anonymous comments cannot be accepted. Any personal information provided will be processed by Chichester District Council in line with the General Data Protection Regulations 2018. More information is available at: <http://www.chichester.gov.uk/dataprotectionandfreedomofinformation>.

To which part of the document does your representation relate?

Page/ Paragraph Number:	35	Policy Reference:	S3 Development Strategy
----------------------------	----	-------------------	-------------------------

Do you support, object, or wish to comment on this policy or paragraph?  
(Please tick one answer)

Support

Object

Have Comments

Enter your full representation here giving details of your reasons for support/objection:

This policy outlines the aim of focusing the majority of planned sustainable growth at Chichester and within the east west corridor. We feel this strategy is flawed in the context of the allocation between Chichester and Emsworth, which will irretrievably damage the landscape setting, context and character of the land between the AONB and National Park.

(Continue on separate sheet if necessary)

What improvements or changes would you suggest?

- We wish to see a re-evaluation of the distribution of housing allocations for the East-West corridor, particularly between Chichester and Emsworth.
- We urge a stronger policy on settlement gaps to protect the character and identity of these villages (Fishbourne, Bosham, Chidham & Hambrook and Southbourne)

- We would welcome additional guidance on coalescence along the A259.
- Policy S24 Countryside needs to be more robust
- Policy S30 Wildlife Corridors requires strengthening

Continue on separate sheet if necessary)

### Declaration

I understand that any comments submitted will be considered by Chichester District Council in line with this consultation and will be made publicly available on their website [www.chichester.gov.uk](http://www.chichester.gov.uk) and may be identifiable by my name or organisation, if provided.

Name ( <i>print</i> ):	N Horter
Date:	6/2/19

## **PART B**

Please use a new form for each representation that you wish to make. Please note anonymous comments cannot be accepted. Any personal information provided will be processed by Chichester District Council in line with the General Data Protection Regulations 2018. More information is available at: <http://www.chichester.gov.uk/dataprotectionandfreedomofinformation>.

To which part of the document does your representation relate?

Page/ Paragraph Number:	36	Policy Reference:	S4 – meeting housing needs
----------------------------	----	-------------------	----------------------------

Do you support, object, or wish to comment on this policy or paragraph?  
(Please tick one answer)

Support

Object

Have Comments

Enter your full representation here giving details of your reasons for support/objection:

- Chichester Harbour Trust accepts that the housing allocation is fixed for the District and that there is no possible negation with Government, therefore it is a case of ensuring that the distribution of housing is developed in the most sensitive and sustainable way.
- We feel that the emphasis on the east-west corridor, particularly west of Chichester along the A259 between Chichester and Emsworth, is potentially harmful to the landscape setting, context and character of Chichester Harbour AONB, and potentially leads to the coalescence of settlements along this boundary.
- Policy S26 on the Natural Environment will effectively become obsolete in the face of this proposal as inevitably the openness of views between the AONB and National Park will be irretrievably damaged. Likewise biodiversity will inevitably decline with the delivery of this number of houses in open countryside on the coastal plain, leading to fragmentation of habitats and increased recreational disturbance to migrating water birds.

- Water quality within Chichester Harbour will fail to improve given inadequate capacity of the treatment works, potentially leading to the downgrading of the SSSI to “Unfavourable – no change”

(Continue on separate sheet if necessary)

What improvements or changes would you suggest?

- We wish to see a re-evaluation of the distribution of housing allocations for the East-West corridor, particularly between Chichester and Emsworth.
- We urge a stronger policy on settlement gaps to protect the character and identity of these villages (Fishbourne, Bosham, Chidham & Hambrook and Southbourne)
- We would welcome additional guidance on coalescence along the A259.
- Policy S24 Countryside needs to be more robust
- Policy S30 Wildlife Corridors requires strengthening

(Continue on separate sheet if necessary)

### Declaration

I understand that any comments submitted will be considered by Chichester District Council in line with this consultation and will be made publicly available on their website [www.chichester.gov.uk](http://www.chichester.gov.uk) and may be identifiable by my name or organisation, if provided.

Name ( <i>print</i> ):	N Horter
Date:	6/2/19

## PART B

Please use a new form for each representation that you wish to make. Please note anonymous comments cannot be accepted. Any personal information provided will be processed by Chichester District Council in line with the General Data Protection Regulations 2018. More information is available at: <http://www.chichester.gov.uk/dataprotectionandfreedomofinformation>.

To which part of the document does your representation relate?

Page/ Paragraph Number:	37	Policy Reference:	S5: Parish Housing Requirements
----------------------------	----	-------------------	---------------------------------

Do you support, object, or wish to comment on this policy or paragraph?  
(Please tick one answer)

Support

Object

Have Comments

Enter your full representation here giving details of your reasons for support/objection:

The allocations for 125 houses at Birdham and 25 at West Wittering should be located and designed so as not have a negative impact on the landscape of the AONB

(Continue on separate sheet if necessary)

What improvements or changes would you suggest?

As above

(Continue on separate sheet if necessary)

### Declaration

I understand that any comments submitted will be considered by Chichester District Council in line with this consultation and will be made publicly available on their website [www.chichester.gov.uk](http://www.chichester.gov.uk) and may be identifiable by my name or organisation, if provided.

Name ( <i>print</i> ):	N Horter
Date:	6/2/19

## PART B

Please use a new form for each representation that you wish to make. Please note anonymous comments cannot be accepted. Any personal information provided will be processed by Chichester District Council in line with the General Data Protection Regulations 2018. More information is available at:

<http://www.chichester.gov.uk/dataprotectionandfreedomofinformation>.

To which part of the document does your representation relate?

Page/ Paragraph Number:	54	Policy Reference:	S12: Infrastructure Provision
----------------------------	----	-------------------	----------------------------------

Do you support, object, or wish to comment on this policy or paragraph?  
(Please tick one answer)

Support

Object

Have Comments

Enter your full representation here giving details of your reasons for support/objection:

It is essential to increase the capacity of water treatment works at all facilities in Chichester Harbour to ensure no additional storm discharges of untreated waste water into the Harbour, which could adversely impact the status of the SSSI designation.

(Continue on separate sheet if necessary)

What improvements or changes would you suggest?

We would wish to see a dedicated item on wastewater treatment in this list.

(Continue on separate sheet if necessary)

### Declaration

I understand that any comments submitted will be considered by Chichester District Council in line with this consultation and will be made publicly available on their website [www.chichester.gov.uk](http://www.chichester.gov.uk) and may be identifiable by my name or organisation, if provided.

Name ( <i>print</i> ):	N Horter
Date:	6/2/19

## PART B

Please use a new form for each representation that you wish to make. Please note anonymous comments cannot be accepted. Any personal information provided will be processed by Chichester District Council in line with the General Data Protection Regulations 2018. More information is available at:

<http://www.chichester.gov.uk/dataprotectionandfreedomofinformation>.

To which part of the document does your representation relate?

Page/ Paragraph Number:	66	Policy Reference:	S17 Thorney Island
----------------------------	----	-------------------	--------------------

Do you support, object, or wish to comment on this policy or paragraph?  
(Please tick one answer)

Support

Object

Have Comments

Enter your full representation here giving details of your reasons for support/objection:

We welcome the support for the continued military use of the barracks, and the MOD are good environmental custodians for the unique environment of Thorney Island.

However in the event that the military should leave the island, the case for masterplanning for development is clearly worrying; the historic, cultural and natural environment of the Island is unique and fundamentally worth preserving.

(Continue on separate sheet if necessary)

What improvements or changes would you suggest?

We wish to see removal of the second paragraph which proposes a masterplanning process should the island cease to be a military base.

(Continue on separate sheet if necessary)

### Declaration

I understand that any comments submitted will be considered by Chichester District Council in line with this consultation and will be made publicly available on their website [www.chichester.gov.uk](http://www.chichester.gov.uk) and may be identifiable by my name or organisation, if provided.



Name ( <i>print</i> ):	N Horter
Date:	6/2/19

## PART B

Please use a new form for each representation that you wish to make. Please note anonymous comments cannot be accepted. Any personal information provided will be processed by Chichester District Council in line with the General Data Protection Regulations 2018. More information is available at: <http://www.chichester.gov.uk/dataprotectionandfreedomofinformation>.

To which part of the document does your representation relate?

Page/ Paragraph Number:	81	Policy Reference:	S23 Transport and Accessibility
----------------------------	----	-------------------	------------------------------------

Do you support, object, or wish to comment on this policy or paragraph?  
(Please tick one answer)

Support

Object

Have Comments

Enter your full representation here giving details of your reasons for support/objection:

Chichester Harbour Trust objects to the proposal for a new link road connecting the A286 Birdham Road to the A27 at Fishbourne Roundabout. The road would have an adverse impact on the character, landscape and setting of Chichester Harbour AONB through the loss of key views to Chichester Cathedral and an increase in congestion, noise and air pollution immediately adjacent to the AONB boundary.

(Continue on separate sheet if necessary)

What improvements or changes would you suggest?

We wish to see the removal of this proposal (as part of the wider AL6 proposal), pending future consultation on improvements to the A27.

(Continue on separate sheet if necessary)

### Declaration

I understand that any comments submitted will be considered by Chichester District Council in line with this consultation and will be made publicly available on their website [www.chichester.gov.uk](http://www.chichester.gov.uk) and may be identifiable by my name or organisation, if provided.

Name ( <i>print</i> ):	N Horter
Date:	6/2/19

## PART B

Please use a new form for each representation that you wish to make. Please note anonymous comments cannot be accepted. Any personal information provided will be processed by Chichester District Council in line with the General Data Protection Regulations 2018. More information is available at: <http://www.chichester.gov.uk/dataprotectionandfreedomofinformation>.

To which part of the document does your representation relate?

Page/ Paragraph Number:	82/83	Policy Reference:	S24 Countryside
----------------------------	-------	-------------------	-----------------

Do you support, object, or wish to comment on this policy or paragraph?  
(Please tick one answer)

Support

Object

Have Comments

Enter your full representation here giving details of your reasons for support/objection:

We are particularly concerned about the impact of this Local Plan on the landscape character, context and setting of the AONB and National Park, whose “intervisibility” is a key characteristic of both protected landscapes. In addition, we have real concerns about the potential coalescence between settlements between Chichester and Emsworth along the A259 corridor, with the absence of strong guidance and policy to prevent this.

We welcome the inclusion of this policy but urge that it is further strengthened to more robustly protect settlement gaps along the AONB boundary between Fishbourne and Emsworth. In particular, whilst the introductory text is titled “Countryside and Countryside Gaps”, the reference to gaps is dropped in the policy title itself.

It has been shown through past experience that settlement boundaries themselves are not sufficient in controlling development in open countryside in gaps between settlements along the A259 – indeed there are very few remaining between Emsworth and Southbourne, and between Southbourne and Nutbourne/Chidham and Hambrook.

South Downs National Park is afforded its own paragraph 5.41, and the same should be the case for Chichester Harbour AONB, which is a nationally important protected landscape, equal in designation to the National Park.

Of particular importance is the intervisibility between the two protected landscapes – the views between them are intrinsically linked, and the character of each is dependent upon protection of the other.

(Continue on separate sheet if necessary)

What improvements or changes would you suggest?

- Include a new paragraph on Chichester Harbour AONB (as per 5.41 for the SDNP)
- Include a new paragraph on intervisibility between the AONB and NP
- Rename policy S24 “Countryside and Settlement Gaps”
- Suggest reversing the policy wording to say that “development in the countryside outside the settlement policy area will be refused unless it can demonstrate that ...etc.”
- Develop additional SPD guidance for Chichester to Emsworth to guide development and prevent coalescence between settlements and loss of countryside gaps

(Continue on separate sheet if necessary)

Declaration

I understand that any comments submitted will be considered by Chichester District Council in line with this consultation and will be made publicly available on their website [www.chichester.gov.uk](http://www.chichester.gov.uk) and may be identifiable by my name or organisation, if provided.

Name ( <i>print</i> ):	N Horter
Date:	6/2/19

## PART B

Please use a new form for each representation that you wish to make. Please note anonymous comments cannot be accepted. Any personal information provided will be processed by Chichester District Council in line with the General Data Protection Regulations 2018. More information is available at:

<http://www.chichester.gov.uk/dataprotectionandfreedomofinformation>.

To which part of the document does your representation relate?

Page/ Paragraph Number:	84	Policy Reference:	S25 The Coast
----------------------------	----	-------------------	---------------

Do you support, object, or wish to comment on this policy or paragraph?  
(Please tick one answer)

Support                       Object                      Have Comments

Enter your full representation here giving details of your reasons for support/objection:

We welcome the inclusion of the AONB in paragraph 5.46. The European SPA (Special Protection Area) designation for migrating water birds should also be included.

The text should cross-reference to Policy DM30 on disturbance of birds.

At the moment, CDC contributes to the “Bird Aware” programme to try and mitigate for the impact of additional residential development on the migratory bird populations using the designated site. We would like to see the inclusion of additional evaluation of the success of this scheme, which we feel is a way of monetising the solution to a known issue. Contained within the plan should be other comprehensive measures to reduce recreational disturbance pressure on sensitive coastal sites, for example through the provision of on-site recreational opportunities, particularly for dog-walkers.

It should be noted that in the policy text, the reference to “Chichester Harbour Conservancy’s Harbour Management Plan” should be the Chichester Harbour AONB Management Plan, to which CDC is a partner signatory in its delivery.

(Continue on separate sheet if necessary)

What improvements or changes would you suggest?

In the policy text, amend the reference to Chichester Harbour Conservancy’s Harbour Management Plan to Chichester Harbour AONB Management Plan, to which CDC is a partner signatory in its delivery.

Include a policy commitment to continue supporting the Solent disturbance and mitigation programme “Bird Aware” to reduce the impact of increased housing development on the designated bird species of the Harbour.

Include a commitment to developing alternative mitigation measures (such as on-site

recreational provision) to prevent the additional impact of housing development on the European designated site for migrating birds.

(Continue on separate sheet if necessary)

Declaration

I understand that any comments submitted will be considered by Chichester District Council in line with this consultation and will be made publicly available on their website [www.chichester.gov.uk](http://www.chichester.gov.uk) and may be identifiable by my name or organisation, if provided.

Name ( <i>print</i> ):	N Horter
Date:	6/2/19

## PART B

Please use a new form for each representation that you wish to make. Please note anonymous comments cannot be accepted. Any personal information provided will be processed by Chichester District Council in line with the General Data Protection Regulations 2018. More information is available at: <http://www.chichester.gov.uk/dataprotectionandfreedomofinformation>.

To which part of the document does your representation relate?

Page/ Paragraph Number:	85	Policy Reference:	S26 The Natural Environment
----------------------------	----	-------------------	-----------------------------

Do you support, object, or wish to comment on this policy or paragraph?  
(Please tick one answer)

Support

Object

Have Comments

Enter your full representation here giving details of your reasons for support/objection:

We welcome the inclusion of this policy and supporting text, and wish to see supporting policy tools throughout the document to ensure its success.

The aspiration in the policy text to ensure there is no adverse impact on the openness of views in and around the coast, designated environmental areas and the setting of the South Downs National Park is welcomed, though it should certainly include Chichester Harbour AONB, given the interrelating landscapes of the two designated areas.

Unfortunately the Local Plan is directly contradictory in this aspect, particularly given the proposed allocation sites AL6 South West of Chichester, and AL7 at Highgrove Farm, Bosham.

(Continue on separate sheet if necessary)

What improvements or changes would you suggest?

Update policy text to include reference to Chichester Harbour AONB in the same context as the South Downs National Park

(Continue on separate sheet if necessary)

### Declaration

I understand that any comments submitted will be considered by Chichester District Council in line with this consultation and will be made publicly available on their website [www.chichester.gov.uk](http://www.chichester.gov.uk) and may be identifiable by my name or organisation, if provided.

Name ( <i>print</i> ):	N Horter
Date:	6/2/19

## PART B

Please use a new form for each representation that you wish to make. Please note anonymous comments cannot be accepted. Any personal information provided will be processed by Chichester District Council in line with the General Data Protection Regulations 2018. More information is available at: <http://www.chichester.gov.uk/dataprotectionandfreedomofinformation>.

To which part of the document does your representation relate?

Page/ Paragraph Number:	87	Policy Reference:	S27 Flood Risk and Water Management
----------------------------	----	-------------------	--

Do you support, object, or wish to comment on this policy or paragraph?

(Please tick one answer)

Support

Object

Have Comments

Enter your full representation here giving details of your reasons for support/objection:

The policy introductory text does not tackle the issue of storm discharge and the capacity of water treatment works to cope with the excess run off in flood conditions.

It seems remiss that the policy and supporting text does not discuss coast protection policy and guidance (although this is covered in DM18 and could be cross referenced).

We would hope to see reference to the Chichester Harbour Conservancy guidance on shoreline defences in Chichester Harbour AONB.

(Continue on separate sheet if necessary)

What improvements or changes would you suggest?

Add reference to the Chichester Harbour Conservancy guidance on shoreline defences in Chichester Harbour AONB.

(Continue on separate sheet if necessary)

### Declaration

I understand that any comments submitted will be considered by Chichester District Council in line with this consultation and will be made publicly available on their website [www.chichester.gov.uk](http://www.chichester.gov.uk) and may be identifiable by my name or organisation, if provided.

Name ( <i>print</i> ):	N Horter
Date:	6/2/19

## PART B

Please use a new form for each representation that you wish to make. Please note anonymous comments cannot be accepted. Any personal information provided will be processed by Chichester District Council in line with the General Data Protection Regulations 2018. More information is available at:

<http://www.chichester.gov.uk/dataprotectionandfreedomofinformation>.

To which part of the document does your representation relate?

Page/ Paragraph Number:	88	Policy Reference:	S28 Pollution
----------------------------	----	-------------------	---------------

Do you support, object, or wish to comment on this policy or paragraph?  
(Please tick one answer)

Support

Object

Have Comments

Enter your full representation here giving details of your reasons for support/objection:

This policy, whilst welcomed, is not sufficiently comprehensive and should certainly identify and address the different forms of pollution likely to arise from housing development (and its relating infrastructure) including:

- Noise pollution
- Air pollution
- Light pollution
- Water pollution

The policy should recognise there are three designated Dark Skies Discovery Zones within the District (in the AONB) and seek to introduce measures to protect these.

(Continue on separate sheet if necessary)

What improvements or changes would you suggest?

This policy really needs to be much more comprehensive, providing guidance and limits on at least the following measures:

- Noise pollution
- Air pollution
- Light pollution
- Water pollution

(Continue on separate sheet if necessary)

### Declaration

Chichester Harbour Trust



I understand that any comments submitted will be considered by Chichester District Council in line with this consultation and will be made publicly available on their website [www.chichester.gov.uk](http://www.chichester.gov.uk) and may be identifiable by my name or organisation, if provided.

Name ( <i>print</i> ):	N Horter
Date:	6/2/19

## PART B

Please use a new form for each representation that you wish to make. Please note anonymous comments cannot be accepted. Any personal information provided will be processed by Chichester District Council in line with the General Data Protection Regulations 2018. More information is available at: <http://www.chichester.gov.uk/dataprotectionandfreedomofinformation>.

To which part of the document does your representation relate?

Page/ Paragraph Number:	89	Policy Reference:	S29 Green Infrastructure
----------------------------	----	-------------------	-----------------------------

Do you support, object, or wish to comment on this policy or paragraph?  
(Please tick one answer)

Support                       Object                      Have Comments

Enter your full representation here giving details of your reasons for support/objection:

We welcome the inclusion of this section, and feel that it could be more comprehensively expanded, particularly relating to the creation of green infrastructure links between the AONB and South Downs National Park.

(Continue on separate sheet if necessary)

What improvements or changes would you suggest?

(Continue on separate sheet if necessary)

### Declaration

I understand that any comments submitted will be considered by Chichester District Council in line with this consultation and will be made publicly available on their website [www.chichester.gov.uk](http://www.chichester.gov.uk) and may be identifiable by my name or organisation, if provided.

Name ( <i>print</i> ):	N Horter
Date:	6/2/19

## PART B

Please use a new form for each representation that you wish to make. Please note anonymous comments cannot be accepted. Any personal information provided will be processed by Chichester District Council in line with the General Data Protection Regulations 2018. More information is available at: <http://www.chichester.gov.uk/dataprotectionandfreedomofinformation>.

To which part of the document does your representation relate?

Page/ Paragraph Number:	90	Policy Reference:	S30 Wildlife Corridors
----------------------------	----	-------------------	---------------------------

Do you support, object, or wish to comment on this policy or paragraph?  
(Please tick one answer)

Support                       Object                      Have Comments

Enter your full representation here giving details of your reasons for support/objection:

We strongly support the inclusion of this policy and would welcome its further development to ensure its effectiveness. We support the policy responses from Chichester Harbour Conservancy and from Southbourne Parish Council in this regard.

We feel that with further development, the inclusion of this policy may go some way towards mitigating some of the potential impacts of the local plan housing allocations on the AONB.

(Continue on separate sheet if necessary)

What improvements or changes would you suggest?

We wish to see further strengthening and widening of the Wildlife Corridor proposals, with thorough evidence based exercise to identify and locate the corridors most effectively. We defer to the expertise of the Conservancy and SDNP authority to support this exercise and strongly urge further consultation with these bodies on this subject.

(Continue on separate sheet if necessary)

## Declaration

I understand that any comments submitted will be considered by Chichester District Council in line with this consultation and will be made publicly available on their website [www.chichester.gov.uk](http://www.chichester.gov.uk) and may be identifiable by my name or organisation, if provided.

Name ( <i>print</i> ):	N Horter
Date:	6/2/19

## **PART B**

Please use a new form for each representation that you wish to make. Please note anonymous comments cannot be accepted. Any personal information provided will be processed by Chichester District Council in line with the General Data Protection Regulations 2018. More information is available at: <http://www.chichester.gov.uk/dataprotectionandfreedomofinformation>.

To which part of the document does your representation relate?

Page/ Paragraph Number:	91	Policy Reference:	<b>S31: Wastewater Management and Water Quality</b>
----------------------------	----	-------------------	---

Do you support, object, or wish to comment on this policy or paragraph?  
(Please tick one answer)

Support

Object

Have Comments

Enter your full representation here giving details of your reasons for support/objection:

Chichester Harbour Trust is very concerned about the potential adverse impact of additional housing requirement on the water quality of Chichester Harbour.

Chichester Harbour SSSI is categorised as Unfavourable improving, subject to review by Natural England is at risk of being downgraded to Unfavourable – no change.

This represents a clear failing by the regulatory authorities to adequately manage the nutrient input into the harbour by the three Waste Water Treatment Works.

There needs to be critical analysis of the capacity of the WWTW to cope with additional inputs from the numbers of new houses proposes with a robust strategy to manage this input, given the current unacceptable levels of storm discharges into the Harbour (data available from the Environment Agency).

(Continue on separate sheet if necessary)

What improvements or changes would you suggest?

We support the proposal by Chichester Harbour Conservancy to adopt a nutrient neutral

policy for any new inputs into Chichester Harbour. We support the Conservancy's proposal to develop a **Nutrient Management Plan**, in partnership with Natural England, the Environment Agency, Chichester Harbour Conservancy and Southern Water.

(Continue on separate sheet if necessary)

Declaration

I understand that any comments submitted will be considered by Chichester District Council in line with this consultation and will be made publicly available on their website [www.chichester.gov.uk](http://www.chichester.gov.uk) and may be identifiable by my name or organisation, if provided.

Name ( <i>print</i> ):	N Horter
Date:	6/2/19

## PART B

Please use a new form for each representation that you wish to make. Please note anonymous comments cannot be accepted. Any personal information provided will be processed by Chichester District Council in line with the General Data Protection Regulations 2018. More information is available at:

<http://www.chichester.gov.uk/dataprotectionandfreedomofinformation>.

To which part of the document does your representation relate?

Page/ Paragraph Number:	92	Policy Reference:	<b>Policy S32: Design Strategies for Strategic and Major Development Sites</b>
----------------------------	----	-------------------	--

Do you support, object, or wish to comment on this policy or paragraph?  
(Please tick one answer)

Support                       Object                       Have Comments

Enter your full representation here giving details of your reasons for support/objection:

We welcome the inclusion of the masterplanning requirement for strategic development sites to ensure they meet the needs of the local community and avoid ad-hoc piecemeal development of green-field land without a coherent structure.

(Continue on separate sheet if necessary)

What improvements or changes would you suggest?

(Continue on separate sheet if necessary)

### Declaration

I understand that any comments submitted will be considered by Chichester District Council in line with this consultation and will be made publicly available on their website [www.chichester.gov.uk](http://www.chichester.gov.uk) and may be identifiable by my name or organisation, if provided.

Name ( <i>print</i> ):	N Horter
------------------------	----------

Date:	6/2/19
-------	--------

## PART B

Please use a new form for each representation that you wish to make. Please note anonymous comments cannot be accepted. Any personal information provided will be processed by Chichester District Council in line with the General Data Protection Regulations 2018. More information is available at:

<http://www.chichester.gov.uk/dataprotectionandfreedomofinformation>.

To which part of the document does your representation relate?

Page/ Paragraph Number:	110	Policy Reference:	<b>Policy AL6: Land South-West of Chichester (Apuldram and Donnington Parishes)</b>
----------------------------	-----	-------------------	---

Do you support, object, or wish to comment on this policy or paragraph?  
(Please tick one answer)

Support

Object

Have Comments

Enter your full representation here giving details of your reasons for support/objection:

The Chichester Harbour Trust strongly objects to the inclusion of the site allocation at AL6 land south west of Chichester. This land provides an essential buffer between Chichester Harbour AONB, and the particularly sensitive head of the Fishbourne Channel part of the SSSI/SPA/SAC designated site. This part of the harbour is particularly sensitive to recreational pressure, adversely impacting on the features of the European designated site. In addition, the landscape views from the AONB to Chichester Cathedral provide an iconic viewshed and are an integral feature of the character and setting of the AONB. We urge that this allocation site is removed from the Local Plan.

We have concerns over the detrimental impact of the proposed link road associated with the development with its associated noise and air pollution and visual impact so close to the AONB boundary.

We do not believe that Southern Water have demonstrated sufficient capacity at the Apuldram Waste Water treatment works to accommodate development at this location, with data on the occurrence of storm discharge events available from the EA and Chichester Harbour Conservancy.

(Continue on separate sheet if necessary)

What improvements or changes would you suggest?

We urge that this allocation site is removed from the Local Plan.

(Continue on separate sheet if necessary)

## Declaration

I understand that any comments submitted will be considered by Chichester District Council in line with this consultation and will be made publicly available on their website [www.chichester.gov.uk](http://www.chichester.gov.uk) and may be identifiable by my name or organisation, if provided.

Name ( <i>print</i> ):	N Horter
Date:	6/2/19

## **PART B**

Please use a new form for each representation that you wish to make. Please note anonymous comments cannot be accepted. Any personal information provided will be processed by Chichester District Council in line with the General Data Protection Regulations 2018. More information is available at: <http://www.chichester.gov.uk/dataprotectionandfreedomofinformation>.

To which part of the document does your representation relate?

Page/ Paragraph Number:	113	Policy Reference:	<b>AL7: Highgrove Farm, Bosham</b>
----------------------------	-----	-------------------	------------------------------------

Do you support, object, or wish to comment on this policy or paragraph?  
(Please tick one answer)

Support

Object

Have Comments

Enter your full representation here giving details of your reasons for support/objection:

We object to the allocation site at Highgrove Farm, Bosham with approximately 13 ha of open countryside allocated to a minimum of 250 houses.

This development in the countryside directly conflicts with policy S24 Countryside and Policy S26 the Natural Environment; which clearly states there should be no adverse impact on the openness of views in and around the coast, designated environmental areas (i.e. the AONB) and the setting of the South Downs National Park.

The proposed development at Highgrove Farm directly contradicts these policies.

We strongly believe that this development would cause irretrievable harm to the landscape character, setting and context of Chichester Harbour AONB and the intervisibility with the South Downs National Park.

We feel that the measures proposed within the policy would not be able to sufficiently mitigate for the damage this development would cause.



Drone photography showing the landscape setting of the allocation site at Highgrove Farm  
 Image credit Angus Peel|ProAction Creative – for Chichester Harbour Trust, 2019

(Continue on separate sheet if necessary)

What improvements or changes would you suggest?

We wish to see this proposed allocation site removed from the Local Plan document.

(Continue on separate sheet if necessary)

Declaration

I understand that any comments submitted will be considered by Chichester District Council in line with this consultation and will be made publicly available on their website [www.chichester.gov.uk](http://www.chichester.gov.uk) and may be identifiable by my name or organisation, if provided.

Name ( <i>print</i> ):	N Horter
Date:	6/2/19

**PART B**

Please use a new form for each representation that you wish to make. Please note anonymous comments cannot be accepted. Any personal information provided will be processed by Chichester District Council in line with the General Data Protection



Regulations 2018. More information is available at:  
<http://www.chichester.gov.uk/dataprotectionandfreedomofinformation>.

To which part of the document does your representation relate?

Page/ Paragraph Number:	117	Policy Reference:	<b>Policy AL9: Fishbourne Parish</b>
----------------------------	-----	-------------------	--

Do you support, object, or wish to comment on this policy or paragraph?  
(Please tick one answer)

Support

Object

Have Comments

Enter your full representation here giving details of your reasons for support/objection:

Without seeing any site allocation maps showing the potential location of the development (other than the HELAA document), we feel it would be difficult for Fishbourne to accommodate the development of an additional 250 houses without having an impact on:

- The setting of Chichester Harbour AONB
- An impact on water quality in Chichester Harbour SSSI
- An increase in recreational disturbance of migrating bird species, particularly at the head of the Fishbourne Channel

We recognise that the policy tries to address these issues through the masterplanning process and urge that they are given due weight.

(Continue on separate sheet if necessary)

What improvements or changes would you suggest?

We would wish to see the publication of the allocation map in the earliest instance.

(Continue on separate sheet if necessary)

Declaration

I understand that any comments submitted will be considered by Chichester District Council in line with this consultation and will be made publicly available on their website [www.chichester.gov.uk](http://www.chichester.gov.uk) and may be identifiable by my name or organisation, if provided.

Name ( <i>print</i> ):	N Horter
Date:	6/2/19

## PART B

Please use a new form for each representation that you wish to make. Please note anonymous comments cannot be accepted. Any personal information provided will be processed by Chichester District Council in line with the General Data Protection Regulations 2018. More information is available at:

<http://www.chichester.gov.uk/dataprotectionandfreedomofinformation>.

To which part of the document does your representation relate?

Page/ Paragraph Number:	120	Policy Reference:	<b>Policy AL10: Chidham and Hambrook Parish</b>
----------------------------	-----	-------------------	---

Do you support, object, or wish to comment on this policy or paragraph?  
(Please tick one answer)

Support

Object

Have Comments

Enter your full representation here giving details of your reasons for support/objection:

As for policy AL9 at Fishbourne, it is difficult to assess the potential impact of this proposal on Chichester Harbour AONB.

We urge that the provision of 500 houses at Chidham and Hambrook should not lead to the erosion of the setting of the AONB, and should not lead to coalescence with neighbouring settlements, particularly Southbourne to the West.

(Continue on separate sheet if necessary)

What improvements or changes would you suggest?

We would wish to see a site allocation map at the earliest instance.

We would welcome an additional point in the policy on the prevention of coalescence with the settlement at Southbourne.

(Continue on separate sheet if necessary)

### Declaration

I understand that any comments submitted will be considered by Chichester District Council in line with this consultation and will be made publicly available on their website [www.chichester.gov.uk](http://www.chichester.gov.uk) and may be identifiable by my name or organisation, if provided.

Name ( <i>print</i> ):	N Horter
Date:	6/2/19

## PART B

Please use a new form for each representation that you wish to make. Please note anonymous comments cannot be accepted. Any personal information provided will be processed by Chichester District Council in line with the General Data Protection Regulations 2018. More information is available at: <http://www.chichester.gov.uk/dataprotectionandfreedomofinformation>.

To which part of the document does your representation relate?

Page/ Paragraph Number:	128	Policy Reference:	<b>Policy AL13: Southbourne Parish</b>
----------------------------	-----	-------------------	--

Do you support, object, or wish to comment on this policy or paragraph?  
(Please tick one answer)

Support

Object

Have Comments

Enter your full representation here giving details of your reasons for support/objection:

We urge that the provision a minimum of 1,250 should not lead to the erosion of the setting of the AONB, and should not lead to coalescence with neighbouring settlements, particularly Chidham and Hambrook to the east and Emsworth to the west.

We would welcome an additional point in the policy on the prevention of coalescence with adjacent settlements.

We hope that the master planning process will sensitively design the new development with appropriate mitigation of the visual impact on the viewshed between the AONB and South Downs NP. The housing should meet the needs of the community, and should conserve settlement integrity and identity.

Adequate provision should be made for waste water treatment, ensuring capacity at Thornham WWTW.

Provision for recreation and particularly dog walking facilities should be accommodated to reduce the recreational disturbance impact on the SPA/SAC, particularly at Prinsted.

We support the Parish Council's aspiration for a "green ring" around the settlement of Southbourne.

(Continue on separate sheet if necessary)

What improvements or changes would you suggest?

We would welcome an additional point in the policy on the prevention of coalescence with adjacent settlements.

Adequate provision should be made for waste water treatment, ensuring capacity at Thornham WWTW.

Provision for recreation and particularly dog walking facilities should be accommodated to reduce the recreational disturbance impact on the SPA/SAC, particularly at Prinsted.

(Continue on separate sheet if necessary)

### Declaration

I understand that any comments submitted will be considered by Chichester District Council in line with this consultation and will be made publicly available on their website [www.chichester.gov.uk](http://www.chichester.gov.uk) and may be identifiable by my name or organisation, if provided.

Name ( <i>print</i> ):	N Horter
Date:	6/2/19

## **PART B**

Please use a new form for each representation that you wish to make. Please note anonymous comments cannot be accepted. Any personal information provided will be processed by Chichester District Council in line with the General Data Protection Regulations 2018. More information is available at: <http://www.chichester.gov.uk/dataprotectionandfreedomofinformation>.

To which part of the document does your representation relate?

Page/ Paragraph Number:	171	Policy Reference:	<b>Policy DM18: Flood Risk and Water Management</b>
----------------------------	-----	-------------------	---

Do you support, object, or wish to comment on this policy or paragraph?  
(Please tick one answer)

Support                       Object                       Have Comments

Enter your full representation here giving details of your reasons for support/objection:

--

We would wish to see inclusion of the regional policy framework for flood risk and shoreline management (the Solent Shoreline Management Plan) and the aspiration to create new guidance for East Head to Emsworth.

(Continue on separate sheet if necessary)

What improvements or changes would you suggest?

We would wish to see inclusion of the regional policy framework for flood risk and shoreline management (the Solent Shoreline Management Plan) and the aspiration to create new guidance for East Head to Emsworth that is currently missing.

(Continue on separate sheet if necessary)

### Declaration

I understand that any comments submitted will be considered by Chichester District Council in line with this consultation and will be made publicly available on their website [www.chichester.gov.uk](http://www.chichester.gov.uk) and may be identifiable by my name or organisation, if provided.

Name ( <i>print</i> ):	N Horter
Date:	6/2/19

## **PART B**

Please use a new form for each representation that you wish to make. Please note anonymous comments cannot be accepted. Any personal information provided will be processed by Chichester District Council in line with the General Data Protection Regulations 2018. More information is available at:

<http://www.chichester.gov.uk/dataprotectionandfreedomofinformation>.

To which part of the document does your representation relate?

Page/ Paragraph Number:	173	Policy Reference:	<b>Policy DM19: Chichester Harbour Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty (AONB)</b>
----------------------------	-----	-------------------	--

Do you support, object, or wish to comment on this policy or paragraph?  
(Please tick one answer)

Support ✓

Object

Have Comments ✓

Enter your full representation here giving details of your reasons for support/objection:

We welcome the inclusion of the dedicated policy for Chichester Harbour AONB and urge that it is given sufficient weight consistently throughout the delivery of the Local Plan.

(Continue on separate sheet if necessary)

What improvements or changes would you suggest?

(Continue on separate sheet if necessary)

#### Declaration

I understand that any comments submitted will be considered by Chichester District Council in line with this consultation and will be made publicly available on their website [www.chichester.gov.uk](http://www.chichester.gov.uk) and may be identifiable by my name or organisation, if provided.

Name ( <i>print</i> ):	N Horter
Date:	6/2/19

## **PART B**

Please use a new form for each representation that you wish to make. Please note anonymous comments cannot be accepted. Any personal information provided will be processed by Chichester District Council in line with the General Data Protection Regulations 2018. More information is available at:

<http://www.chichester.gov.uk/dataprotectionandfreedomofinformation>.

To which part of the document does your representation relate?

Page/ Paragraph Number:	190	Policy Reference:	<b>Policy DM28: Natural Environment</b>
----------------------------	-----	-------------------	---

Do you support, object, or wish to comment on this policy or paragraph?  
(Please tick one answer)

Support ✓

Object

Have Comments

Enter your full representation here giving details of your reasons for support/objection:

The policy wording in point 1. does not include reference to Chichester Harbour AONB, which it should do

(Continue on separate sheet if necessary)

What improvements or changes would you suggest?

Include reference to Chichester Harbour AONB alongside the South Downs National park in the first number point in the policy wording.

(Continue on separate sheet if necessary)

#### Declaration

I understand that any comments submitted will be considered by Chichester District Council in line with this consultation and will be made publicly available on their website [www.chichester.gov.uk](http://www.chichester.gov.uk) and may be identifiable by my name or organisation, if provided.

Name ( <i>print</i> ):	N Horter
Date:	6/2/19

## **PART B**

Please use a new form for each representation that you wish to make. Please note anonymous comments cannot be accepted. Any personal information provided will be processed by Chichester District Council in line with the General Data Protection Regulations 2018. More information is available at: <http://www.chichester.gov.uk/dataprotectionandfreedomofinformation>.

To which part of the document does your representation relate?

Page/ Paragraph Number:	193	Policy Reference:	<b>Policy DM30: Development and Disturbance of</b>
----------------------------	-----	-------------------	--

			<b>Birds in Chichester, Langstone and Pagham Harbours Special Protection Areas</b>
--	--	--	--

Do you support, object, or wish to comment on this policy or paragraph?  
(Please tick one answer)

Support                       Object                      Have Comments

Enter your full representation here giving details of your reasons for support/objection:

We welcome the inclusion of the policy on recreational disturbance and fully support the comprehensive text. Our reservation is that the policy and mitigation scheme described can only have a limited impact on the effects of recreational disturbance in reality. Therefore we urge that other measures are implemented as detailed throughout the plan to provide alternative public open spaces, particularly for exercising dogs, as standard practise for each new housing development.

(Continue on separate sheet if necessary)

What improvements or changes would you suggest?

(Continue on separate sheet if necessary)

Declaration

I understand that any comments submitted will be considered by Chichester District Council in line with this consultation and will be made publicly available on their website [www.chichester.gov.uk](http://www.chichester.gov.uk) and may be identifiable by my name or organisation, if provided.

Name ( <i>print</i> ):	N Horter
Date:	6/2/19

\*\*\*\*\*